1	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (SBN 121	
2	kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com	310)
3	ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738) ahurst@orrick.com	
4	GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218) gramsey@orrick.com	
5	405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759	
6	PETER A. BICKS (pro hac vice) pbicks@orrick.com	
7	LISA T. SIMPSON (pro hac vice) lsimpson@orrick.com	
8	51 West 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10019 Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151	
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (pro hac vice)	
10	dboies@bsfllp.com	
11	333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504 Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300	
12	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com	
13	1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460	2
	161. 1.310.8/4.1000 / Fax. 1.310.8/4.1400	
14	ORACLE CORPORATION DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)	
15	dorian.daley@oracle.com	
16	DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com	
17	MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600) matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com	
	RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)	
18	ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com 500 Oracle Parkway,	
19	Redwood City, CA 94065	
20	Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
22	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
23	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA
25	Plaintiff,	ADMIN. MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL
26	v.	RE ORACLE'S RESPONSE TO ECF NO
	GOOGLE INC.,	1598 Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
27	Defendant.	Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup
28		

Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle") hereby moves to file portions of Oracle's Response to ECF No. 1598 ("Response") under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5.

The Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case, ECF No. 68, states that when material has been designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY," a party may not file it in the public record, but must seek to file it under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. Stipulated Protective Order § 14.4, ECF No. 66.

Google Inc. ("Google") has designated certain materials discussed in Oracle's Response as "CONFIDENTIAL" and "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective Order. Therefore, Oracle moves to seal the following portions of its Response that have been so-designated by Google pursuant to the Protective Order:

Page/Line Number	Text to be Sealed	
p. 5, lines 11-12	Text within the parenthetical after the citation to	
	Astrachan Depo.	
p. 6, lines 9-11	Text within the parenthetical after the citation to Rubin	
	4/5/11 Depo.	
p. 6, lines 22-24	Text within the parenthetical after the citation to Rubin	
	4/5/11 Depo.	
p. 7, lines 13-14	Text within the parenthetical citations reflecting the	
	identity of the presentation recipients	
p. 7, lines 15-16	Text within quotations after "it:"	
p. 7, line 22	Text within the citation parentheticals	
p. 8, lines 22-24	Text after the word "market" on line 22	
p. 10, lines 3-4	Text after "Id." on line 4	
·	<u> </u>	

In addition, Oracle moves to seal two recent revenue figures cited in Oracle's Response at page 6, lines 4-5. Oracle's requested order is narrowly tailored to reach only a very limited amount of commercially sensitive information. As set forth in the Declaration of Andrew Temkin, the limited information Oracle seeks to seal is competitively sensitive information that Oracle maintains in confidence. Oracle respectfully submits that the risk of competitive injury to Oracle constitutes a compelling reason to grant Oracle the narrowly tailored relief requested. *See, e.g., Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu,* 447 F.3d 1172, 1181 (9th Cir. 2006) (setting

- 1 -

## 

1	standard); Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc., 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15825, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9,		
2	2016) (granting motion to seal confidential revenue data) (citing inter alia Nixon v. Warner		
3	Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598, 98 S. Ct. 1306, 55 L. Ed. 2d 570 (1978) (holding		
4	access to court records has been denied when it includes "sources of business information that		
5	might harm a litigant's competitive standing.").		
6	D. J. A. T. J. A. A. A. C. W. A. D. T. G. YOUNGOV, M. G. Y. D. T. W. A. D. T. W. D. T. W. A. D. T. W. D. T. W. A. D. T. W. A. D. T. W. A.		
7	7   ANNI	EN G. JOHNSON-MCKEWAN ETTE L. HURST	
8	8    PETE	RIEL M. RAMSEY R A. BICKS	
9		T. SIMPSON x, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	
10	0		
11	1 By:	/s/ Andrew D. Silverman	
12		C. Di dice	
13	3 A	Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
14	4		
15	5		
16	6		
17	7		
18	8		
19	9		
20	0		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	8		

CV 10-03561 WHA